

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/633,597 08/05/2003 Tsutomu Nakashima 62758-050 4818 20277 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/12/2004 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J 600 13TH STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096 2851

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/633,597	NAKASHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rochelle Blackman	2851
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 August 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

The objection, "on pg. 13, lines 1-9 should be omitted" regarding the disclosure, was inadvertently recited in the last Office action. The objection is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 21, 22, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,511,183).

Shimizu discloses a projection type image display apparatus (FIGS. 7 or 11), comprising: a light source (502 or 402); an illumination optical system (510 or 410); a reflection image display device (580 or 440R, G, B); a projection lens (590 or 452); a

Art Unit: 2851

reflection polarizing plate (574 or 474) which functions as polarizer and analyzer for said reflection image display device by diffraction; and an astigmatism corrector (526 or 426) which is located between said reflection polarizing plate and said projection lens in a light path and corrects astigmatism which occurs with said reflection polarizing plate; wherein an image light reflected by said reflection image display device is irradiated on a working plane side of said reflection polarizing plate, and then reaches said projection lens after reflecting on said reflection polarizing plate (see "reflection image display device" 580 or 490R, G, B, "reflection polarizing plate" 574 or 474, and "projection lens" 590 or 452 in FIGS. 7 or 11); further comprising at least one of: an auxiliary polarizer (514, 566 - as referenced in FIG. 7, or 414, 468) which is located between said light source and said reflection polarizing plate in the light path; and an auxiliary analyzer (593 or 454) which functions as an analyzer located between said reflection polarizing plate and said projection lens in said light path; wherein a reflection axis of said reflection polarizing plate is adjusted around a working plane of said reflection polarizing plate (see "reflection polarizing plate" 574 or 474 and function thereof in FIG. 6 and col. 31, line 50 to col. 32, line 6 or col. 34, lines 44-65); wherein at least one of an absorption or a reflection axis of said auxiliary polarizer and an absorption axis of said auxiliary analyzer is rotated around an optical axis (see "auxiliary polarizer" 514 and col. 27, line 46 to col. 28, line 10, "auxiliary polarizer" 566 and col. 31, lines 46-49 and/ or "auxiliary analyzer" 593 and col. 33, lines 27-30 or "auxiliary analyzer" 454 and col. 35. line 66 to col. 36, line 3); wherein at least one of an absorption or a reflection axis of said auxiliary polarizer and an absorption axis of said auxiliary analyzer is rotated

Application/Control Number: 10/633,597

Art Unit: 2851

around an optical axis in a way to decrease an angle difference from a reflection axis of said reflection polarizing plate, by a second predetermined angle based on a characteristic of said reflection image display device (also "auxiliary polarizer" 514 and col. 27, line 46 to col. 28, line 10, "auxiliary polarizer" 566 and col. 31, lines 46-49 and/ or "auxiliary analyzer" 593 and col. 33, lines 27-30 or "auxiliary analyzer" 454 and col. 35, line 66 to col. 36, line 3).

2. Claims 21, 22, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stahl et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,661,475).

Stahl discloses a projection type image display apparatus (FIGS. 1 and 2), comprising: a light source (14); an illumination optical system (16,20); a reflection image display device (26₁, 26₂, 26₃); a projection lens (27); a reflection polarizing plate (40) which functions as polarizer and analyzer for said reflection image display device by diffraction; and an astigmatism corrector (48 – see col. 6, lines 29-34) which is located between said reflection polarizing plate and said projection lens in a light path and corrects astigmatism which occurs with said reflection polarizing plate; wherein an image light reflected by said reflection image display device is irradiated on a working plane side of said reflection polarizing plate, and then reaches said projection lens after reflecting on said reflection polarizing plate (see "reflection image display device" 26₁, 26₂, 26₃, "reflection polarizing plate" 40, and "projection lens" 27 in FIGS. 1 and 2); further comprising at least one of: an auxiliary polarizer (22) which is located between said light source and said reflection polarizing plate in the light path, and an auxiliary analyzer (60) which functions as an analyzer located between said reflection polarizing

Application/Control Number: 10/633,597

Art Unit: 2851

plate and said projection lens in said light path; wherein a reflection axis of said reflection polarizing plate is adjusted around a working plane of said reflection polarizing plate (see "reflection polarizing plate" 40 in FIGS. 1 and 2); wherein at least one of an absorption or a reflection axis of said auxiliary polarizer and an absorption axis of said auxiliary analyzer is rotated around an optical axis (see "auxiliary polarizer" 22 and col. 5, lines 56-67 or "auxiliary analyzer" 60 and col. 7, lines 47-59); wherein at least one of an absorption or a reflection axis of said auxiliary polarizer and an absorption axis of said auxiliary analyzer is rotated around an optical axis in a way to decrease an angle difference from a reflection axis of said reflection polarizing plate, by a second predetermined angle based on a characteristic of said reflection image display device (see "auxiliary polarizer" 22 and col. 5, lines 56-67 or "auxiliary analyzer" 60 and col. 7, lines 47-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,511,183) in view of Ishii (U.S. Patent No. 6,669,344).

Shimizu disclose the claimed invention including a dichroic mirror group (532, 538 of FIG. 8) which separates the light from said light source into red light, green light and blue light; and wherein said reflection image display device (440R, G, B of FIG. 11)... comprises three pieces: an object (440R) for the red light, an object (440G) for the green light, and an object (44B) for the blue light, respectively. Shimizu also discloses a color dividing/combining prism assembly 436 and a polarizing-beamsplitter/half-wave plate assembly 514. However, Shimizu does not disclose "a cross dichroic prism" which combines red light, green light and blue light from said reflection image display device; "said reflection polarizing plate" comprising "three pieces: an object for the read light, an object for the green light, and an object for the blue light"; and "half-wave plates located between said reflection image display device for red light and said cross dichroic prism, and between said reflection image display device for blue light and said cross dichroic prism".

Ishii discloses a cross dichroic prism (109) which combines red light, green light and blue light from a reflection image display device (106R, G, B); a reflection polarizing plate (104Rp, Gp, Bp) comprising three pieces: an object (104Rp) for the red light, an object (104Gp) for the green light, and an object (104Bp) for the blue light; and half-wave plates (105R, B) located between said reflection image display device for red light and said cross dichroic prism, and between said reflection image display device for blue light and said cross dichroic prism.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the "projection type image display apparatus" of the

Art Unit: 2851

Shimizu reference with a "cross dichroic prism" and "half wave plates located between said reflection image display device for red light and said cross dichroic prism, and between said reflection image display device for blue light and said cross dichroic prism", as taught by Ishii in order to provide a "projection type image display apparatus" that is capable of reducing ununiformity in color of a projected image (see col. 2, lines 1-7).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,597 Page 8

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2113. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RB

JUDY NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER